



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/191,708	11/13/1998	BRIJ BHUSHAN GARG	L0012/7004	8933
26291	7590 04/19/2002			
•	TTERSON & SHERI	EXAMINER		
595 SHREWS FIRST FLOOI		LOGSDON, JOSEPH B		
SHREWSBU	RY, NJ 07702		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	19
Advisory Action	09/191,708	GARG ET AL.	V
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joe Logsdon	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply	to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amounte shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	nn. See MPEP priate extension ppriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered ar w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449)		
10. Other:	H /2	<u> </u>	
	HASSAN KIZ		
	SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the claims replaces "one" and "a" with "any," thereby significantly altering the scope and meaning of the claims. The amendment would therefore require further consideration and search.

Applicant argues that the previous amendment does not add new matter to the specification. The specification, as originally filed, does not mention that Fig. 7 depicts a physical embodiment. The amendment therefore adds new matter.

Contrary to Applicant's interpretation, Examiner only used the statement by Van Hoogenbemt as one consideration in the enablement rejection.